



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,325	03/25/2004	Lawrence R. McGee	T99-008-3/US	7972
30174	7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER	
AMGEN I	· • ·	SEAMAN, D MARGARET M		
1120 VETERANS BOULEVARD SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500111011			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
				MCGEE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	10/810,3 Examine		Art Unit	_	
			ret Seaman	1625		
	The MAILING DATE of this communica					
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN PROPERTY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN PROPERTY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN PROPERTY IS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAIN PROPERTY IS SHOULD FROM THE MAIN PROPERTY IS SHOULD FOR THE MAIN PROPERTY IS SHOULD FOR THE MAIN PROPERTY IS LONGER THE MAIN PROPERTY IN THE MAIN PROPERTY IN THE MAIN PROPERTY IS LONGER THE MAIN PROPERTY IN THE MAIN PROPE	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no er ication. ory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION yent, however, may a reply be timulating vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	D This action is in allowance excep	non-final. t for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8,15-20 and 55-86</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>73-86</u> is/are vertically claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,15-20 and 55-72</u> is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
Applicati	on Papers			•		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or b on to the drawing(s) e correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/810,325 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

This application was filed 3/25/2004 and is a Con of 10/209205 (7/30/02, US Patent #6770648) which is a CON of 09/606433 (6/28/2000, allowed) which claims benefit of Provisional Application 60/141672 (6/30/1999). Claims 9-14 have been canceled.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's confirmation of the election with traverse by original presentation was confirmed in paper dated 2/8/2006. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search would not pose a burden. This is not found persuasive because the search for group I does not overlap with the search for group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. This application contains claims 73-86 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 2/8/2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1625

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-8, 15-20 and 55-72 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Specifically, it is not seen where the instant specification enables the ordinary artisan to make and/or use the instant compounds where X is other than $-S(O)_{k^-}$, -O-, -C(O)- or CH₂. Other than these moieties, the specification does not enable the ordinary artisan to make or use the instant compounds. There are many examples within the instant specification, however the full scope of the instant claims are not enabled by the instant specification due to the scope of the instant X.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/810,325 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-8, 15-20 and 55-72 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-33 of U. S. Patent No. 6620827 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent (see claim 1) and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The claims of the patent are fully encompassed by the instant claims. The instant claims where Ar1 is phenyl, X is S(O)k, Y is N(R12)-X(O)k and R2 is phenyl. This part of the instant claims is fully encompassed by claim 1 of US Patent 6620827.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/810,325 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-8, 15-20 and 55-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6620827

The applied reference has a common inventor(s) with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. The instant application has a larger scope than is claimed by the patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 730am-4pm, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,325

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IV Margaret Seamar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms